Mr. E.E.Bradley 12208 Emelita St., North Hollywood, Calif. 91607

Dear Gene,

As I promised, I send you herewith the original typescript of Roger Craig's testimony. It reached me today and I expect to mail it tomorrow.

Notice that it is properly stemped and released by the National Archives as of 10/30/69, that Roger waived reading and correcting and that there is none of what he claims on it.

Let me explain this again, so you will understand. If you have any questions, let be know. If necessary, I can even produce the bills for the original court reporting. Somewhere I already have them.

The court reporter turned in more than one copy. Of the copies turned in, one became what is known as the "R" set, the one used in printing. It is on this one that corrections are made. If they are put on another set, they do not get set in type. As you will see, these were originally transcribed in Dallas than shipped to DC when typed up.

The number in the upper-righthend corner is the job number, with the Government Frinting Office folio numbers added. In some cases, they shortened the typed pages, in some they lengthened them. When this was done, the original pagination is still present.

What this proves is that neither the Commission nor Roger made any changes in his testimony. You will notice that what changes are made are to make the typing conform to government style, most usually in changing spelled-out numbers into numerals.

Each of the stamps has meaning. This was set in an 8-point body type on a nine-point slug, the width of the slug being 26% pices. I am certain each of the marks was placed on the transcript at the printing office and nowhere else.

Without checking, I cannot be certain. Howevery I am confident that for those paranoids who will claim that there were changes made immediately, that the transcript was immediately retyped in Dallas, after the alleged changes were indicated, I have the dates on which each deposition was sent to askington. These will come pretty close to proving that there was no time for it. I myself am without doubt, as I always have been.

The total cost is only \$6.00. I also have a duplicate set, so if there are those who may express doubts, you will be able to show that I got this for you and I can produce a duplicate. have no other use for it, only to keep the record straight.

By the way, if you ever got the FBI reports on the other Bradley, I'd like comiss.

Sincerely.